

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/473,080	12/28/1999	TOSHIHIRO SUGIURA	ADACHI-P181U	9575
20210 75	590 12/31/2003		EXAMINER	
DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C.			NALEVANKO, CHRISTOPHER R	
FOURTH FLO	OR ERCIAL STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MANCHESTER, NH 03101-1151			2611	10
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
Advisory Action	09/473,080	SUGIURA ET AL.	
·	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Christopher R Nalevanko	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rech places the application	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a) i will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o) ☐ will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 4-7.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u> </u>	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		1	
	ANI	NATO	

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) SUPERIA GRY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 10. Other: The limitation of "wherein the controller of the central equipment transmits a command signal to a reiceiving district having at least one tap device to which a command signal is to be transmitted, transmits a command signal to a receiving district which has not received a command signal in a proceeding transmission of a command signal and which has at least one tap device to which a command signal is to be transmitted, and repeats the transmission of command signals as necessary to provide at least one command signal to each tap device which is to receive a command signal" of Claim 4 would require further search and consideration..